Al Adoption and the Demand for Managerial Expertise

Liudmila Alekseeva, José Azar, Mireia Giné, Sampsa Samila

KU Leuven, IESE Business School

April 1, 2025

The Transformative Power of AI (ECB)

Motivation





As firms adopt AI, is there a change in the role of managers in organizations?

Literature Gap

- Al as a General Purpose Technology (GPT): Klinger et al. (2018), Trajtenberg (2018), Goldfarb et al. (2019), McElheran et al. (2024).
- Al, wages, and employment: Alderucci et al. (2019), Felten et al. (2021), Alekseeva et al. (2021), Acemoglu et al. (2022).
- Al and firm productivity and growth: Brynjolfsson et al. (2021), Czarnitzki et al. (2023), Babina et al. (2024).
- Al and worker productivity: Lebovitz et al. (2022), Dell'Aqua et al. (2023), Toner-Rodgers (2024).
- Al and Firm Transformation: Research Gap.
 Dixon et al. (2023) effect of robots on management.

Literature Gap - AI and Firm Transformation

- As a major technological shock, AI may substantially affect firm organization and managerial roles.
- Studying Al's impact on management helps to understand:
 - How firms reorganize to utilize the AI's potential,
 - Mechanisms of these changes: i.e., complementary skills.
- Prior research on the effect of technologies on managers shows contrasting results:
 - Increase in the demand for managers: communication technologies (Bloom et al., 2014);
 - Decrease in the demand for managers: information technologies, e.g., ERP (Bloom et al., 2014), digital collaboration tools (Gulati et al., 2023), and robots (Dixon et al. 2023).
- We aim to provide the first evidence into the changing role of managers in firms adopting AI.

Big Q: As firms adopt AI, is there a change in the role of managers in organizations?

- Will companies replace managers or make them even more important?
- ▶ What skills will managers need when working with AI?
- What are employment and salary effects of AI adoption?

Predictions: Effect of AI on Demand for Managers

- (-) Simplifies task delegation and supervision (Gulati et al., 2023), e.g., by reducing workers' errors (Dixon et al., 2023);
- (-) Automates tasks previously requiring human supervision (Bloom et al., 2014);
- (+) Adds complexity, requiring additional oversight and coordination (Dell'Acqua et al., 2023; Ide and Talamas, 2023);
- (+) Requires additional checks of new outputs to mitigate risks and enhance reputation (Garicano and Wu, 2012; Dell'Acqua et al., 2023);
- (+) Requires workflow restructuring and process re-engineering (Garicano and Wu, 2012).

Main Findings

► Al adoption increases the relative demand for managers:

- \rightarrow Requiring a higher number of managerial positions;
- \rightarrow Requiring a higher proportion of managerial positions.

Main Findings

- ► Al adoption increases the relative demand for managers:
 - \rightarrow Requiring a higher number of managerial positions;
 - \rightarrow Requiring a higher proportion of managerial positions.
- Al adoption reshapes the skill profile of managers:
 - \rightarrow Firms seek managers with stronger cognitive and interpersonal skills (e.g., collaboration, creativity, data analysis);
 - \rightarrow Firms reduce the demand for routine administrative tasks (e.g., scheduling, budgeting) and physical abilities.

Main Findings

- ► Al adoption increases the relative demand for managers:
 - \rightarrow Requiring a higher number of managerial positions;
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Requiring a higher proportion of managerial positions.
- ► AI adoption reshapes the skill profile of managers:
 - → Firms seek managers with stronger cognitive and interpersonal skills (e.g., collaboration, creativity, data analysis);
 - \rightarrow Firms reduce the demand for routine administrative tasks (e.g., scheduling, budgeting) and physical abilities.

Managerial and non-managerial roles are becoming more valuable with higher AI adoption:

- \rightarrow Higher managerial salaries within firms;
- \rightarrow Higher non-managerial salaries;
- $\rightarrow\,$ No decrease in non-managerial vacancies in the recent period.

Data

- Nearly universe of online job postings in the U.S., provided by Lightcast (e.g., Alekseeva et al., 2021, Goldfarb et al., 2023; Babina et al., 2024):
 - In 2010-2022: nearly 375 million vacancies;
 - Rich information on vacancies: title, employer, location, experience, salary, occupation code, list of skills;
 - Match with Compustat: 2.3k unique companies over multiple years, excluding IT and Professional Services firms.
- Skill-based definition of AI adoption:
 - 1. Estimate how often each skill co-occurs with unambiguous AI skills in vacancies;
 - 2. Estimate average co-occurrence ratio at a vacancy level, use 10% cutoff to define an AI vacancy (Babina et al., 2024);
 - 3. Verify robustness to other cutoffs and the bag-of-words method as in Alekseeva et al. (2021).

How AI-related is Each Skill?

AI Co-occurrence Score	Skill
0.98	Sentiment Classification
0.91	Unsupervised Learning
0.60	Cluster Analysis
0.36	Web Scraping
0.36	Predictive Analytics
0.31	Salesforce Einstein
0.26	Big Data Analytics
0.22	Marketing Mix Modeling
0.17	Data Visualization
0.07	Facebook Insights
0.05	Supply Chain Data Analysis
0.04	Game Development
0.02	Automotive Engineering
0.01	Corporate Performance Measurement
0.01	Social Networking
0.01	Project Management
0.00	Corporate Communications
0.00	Microsoft Powerpoint
0.00	Internal Auditing
0.00	Electrical Engineering
0.00	Sales Goals

How Al-related is a Vacancy?

Final Score	Al-related? $(1/0)$	Job Title	N. Skills in Vacancy
0.95	1	Machine Learning Engineer	6
0.79	1	Data Scientist	12
0.69	1	Natural Language Processing Scientist	7
0.67	1	Computer Scientist	9
0.66	1	Director of Engineering	10
0.47	1	Principal Data Scientist	13
0.40	1	Product Designer	6
0.33	1	Software Engineering Manager	11
0.15	1	Applications Engineer	14
0.15	1	Director of Sales	7
0.11	1	Market Research Analyst	14
0.07	0	Software Development Engineer	15
0.07	0	Healthcare Operations Manager	15
0.07	0	Actuarial Analyst	10
0.03	0	Electrical Engineer	9
0.01	0	Marketing Specialist	6
0.01	0	Security Analyst	15
0.01	0	Laboratory Technician	7
0.01	0	E-Commerce Manager	6
0.00	0	Director of Sales	15

Firm-level Measure of AI Adoption - AI Share

Figure: PROCTER & GAMBLE CO.: AI Hiring.



Al Share_{*i*,*t*} = $\frac{\text{Number of Al-related Job Postings}_{i,t}}{\text{Total Number of Job Postings}_{i,t}}$

Average Demand for AI Vacancies in the Sample



Keywords-based AI Share

Firm-level Measures of Managerial Intensity and Skills

$\text{Managers Share}_{i,t} = \frac{\text{Num. Job Postings in Management Occupation}_{i,t}}{\text{Total Num. Job Postings}_{i,t}}$

Man. Skill j Share_{i,t} = $\frac{\text{Num. Job Postings in Management Requiring Skill } j_{i,t}}{\text{Total Num. Job Postings in Management}_{i,t}}$

Average Demand for Managers in the Sample



Table: Summary statistics.

	N co.	N obs.	Mean	SD	p(5)	p(95)
Vacancy-based Characteristics:						
AI Share	2,322	16,021	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%	2.6%
AI Vacancies	2,322	16,021	27	288	0	72
N Vacancies	2,322	16,021	4,001	12,977	149	16,513
Managers Share	2,322	16,021	19.1%	11.6%	4.3%	42.2%
N Managerial vacancies	2,322	16,021	510	1,567	35	2,017
Skill Shares within Managerial	vacancies:					
Communication Skills	2,322	16,021	49.6%	20.9%	11.6%	84.1%
Budgeting	2,322	16,021	29.7%	17.5%	4.1%	62.6%
Planning	2,322	16,021	27.8%	15.6%	3.2%	55.6%
Teamwork / Collaboration	2,322	16,021	27.6%	19.2%	1.8%	64.1%
Project Management	2,322	16,021	22.3%	14.8%	1.1%	48.8%
Scheduling	2,322	16,021	18.4%	15.2%	1.6%	49.7%
Problem Solving	2,322	16,021	23.0%	16.3%	1.6%	54.3%
Microsoft Excel	2,322	16,021	23.5%	17.1%	2.3%	58.0%
Organizational Skills	2,322	16,021	19.1%	14.9%	2.1%	49.3%
Microsoft Office	2,322	16,021	19.4%	17.1%	0.9%	49.3%
Control Variables:						
Software Share	2,322	16,021	29.0%	20.0%	2.1%	65.8%
Sales (in thousand USD)	2,322	16,021	12,211	31,417	173	53,270
Employment (in thousand emp)	2,322	16,021	30.86	88.45	0.48	125.10
Cash/Assets	2,322	16,021	13.9%	16.9%	0.6%	53.6%
R&D/Sales	2,322	16,021	13.7%	82.9%	0.0%	28.0%

Estimation Strategy

Managers Share_{*i*,t} =
$$\beta$$
Al Share_{*i*,t} + $\gamma X_{i,t} + \delta_i + \theta_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$. (1)

Managers Share_{*it*} - share of managerial vacancies in a firm, *AI* Share_{*it*} - main variable of interest, X_{it} is a vector of controls for company financial and operational characteristics, and δ_i and θ_t are firm and year fixed effects.

Managerial Skill *j* Share_{*i*,*t*} = β Al Share_{*i*,*t*} + $\gamma X_{i,t} + \delta_i + \theta_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$. (2)

*Managerial Skill Share*_{it} - share of managerial vacancies requiring one of the top-100 managerial skills.

Identification Strategy

The IV measures the firm's exogenous exposure to AI adoption, based on the expected AI adoption by companies surrounding the focal firm.

$$B_{i,m,t} = \sum_{s=1}^{S} w_{m,s,0} \text{AI Share}_{s,t},$$

where $w_{s,m,0}$ is the pre-period (2010) share of industry *s*'s employment in commuting zone *m*; *AI Share*_{*s*,*t*} is the country-wide *AI Share* in industry sector *s* and time *t* (industry is 3-digit NAICS).

Result 1: Demand for Managers

	Ln(Manage	rial vacancies)	Manag	ers Share
	OLS	IV	OLS	IV
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AI Share	0 024***	0 072***	0 004***	0 014***
	(0.004)	(0.021)	(0.001)	(0.004)
Software Skills Share	0.629***	0.558***	0.074***	0.057***
	(0.057)	(0.072)	(0.010)	(0.013)
Ln(Sales)	0.054***	0.089***	0.007**	0.010***
	(0.012)	(0.019)	(0.003)	(0.004)
Ln(Employment)	0.105***	0.087***	0.012***	0.010* [*]
	(0.021)	(0.025)	(0.004)	(0.005)
Cash/Assets	0.044	0.032	0.005	0.008
	(0.056)	(0.071)	(0.010)	(0.013)
R&D/Sales	0.018***	0.029	0.001	0.001
,	(0.007)	(0.019)	(0.002)	(0.004)
Ln(Vacancies)	-0.019***	-0.039***	-0.003***	-0.005***
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.049)	(0.053)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Observations	15,977	13,331	15,977	13,331
R-squared	0.953		0.829	
F-stat for weak IV		87.10		87.10
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Subperiods

Balanced Sample Exclude Top 5%

Alekseeva et al., 2025

Result 1: Demand for Managers - Sectors

	Managers Share							
	Manufacturing		Finance		Retail & Wholesale Trade		Other	
	OLS (1)	IV (2)	OLS (3)	IV (4)	OLS (5)	IV (6)	OLS (7)	IV (8)
AI Share	0.005*** (0.001)	0.025*** (0.007)	0.002 (0.002)	0.009 (0.010)	0.002 (0.002)	-0.005 (0.010)	0.005*** (0.002)	0.014 (0.014)
Observations R-squared	7,290 0.855	6,026	3,179 0.758	2,723	1,832 0.775	1,511	3,676 0.783	3,071
F-stat for weakIV		40		26.53		5.395		11.85
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Result 2: Demand for Managerial Skills



Result 2: Demand for Managerial Skills - Manufacturing



Result 3: Managerial Wages

	Log(Mgt	Salary)	Ratio of Mgt Salaries (Prof	Ratio of Mgt to Non-Mgt Salaries (Professional Occ.)		
	OLS (1)	IV (2)	OLS (3)	IV (4)		
AI Share	0.012** (0.005)	0.068** (0.029)	0.004 (0.010)	-0.017 (0.049)		
Observations	11,112	9,091	10,752	8,787		
R-squared	0.450		0.281			
F-stat for weak IV		92.91		80.43		
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		

Result 3: Overall Demand for Labor (2011-2022)

	Ln(Va	Ln(Vacancies)		Vacancies)	Ln(Non-AI & No	Ln(Non-AI & Non-Mgt Vacancies)	
	OLS	IV	OLS	IV	OLS	IV	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
AI Share	-0.019* (0.010)	-0.076* (0.041)	-0.032*** (0.010)	-0.090** (0.041)	-0.042*** (0.010)	-0.119*** (0.044)	
Observations	15,977	13,331	15,977	13,331	15,977	13,331	
R-squared	0.890		0.890		0.89		
F-stat for weak IV		89.03		89.03		89.03	
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	

Period 2016-2022

Summary of Results and Implications

Increase in demand for managerial roles:

 $\rightarrow\,$ Increase in the number and share of managerial positions.

Change in skill requirements:

- $\rightarrow\,$ Increase in the demand for cognitive and interpersonal skills;
- $\rightarrow\,$ Reduced demand for routine administrative tasks.
- Managerial and non-managerial salaries rise with AI adoption.

Summary of Results and Implications

Increase in demand for managerial roles:

 $\rightarrow\,$ Increase in the number and share of managerial positions.

Change in skill requirements:

- \rightarrow Increase in the demand for cognitive and interpersonal skills;
- $\rightarrow\,$ Reduced demand for routine administrative tasks.
- Managerial and non-managerial salaries rise with AI adoption.
- Al adoption is not just a technology project, it is also a management challenge.

Appendix

Average AI Share in the Sample



Result 1: Robustness to Excluding Al Managers

	Ln(Manage	rial vacancies)	Managers Share		
	OLS (1)	IV (2)	OLS	IV (4)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	-
AI Share	0.017***	0.063***	0.002***	0.013***	
	(0.004)	(0.022)	(0.001)	(0.004)	
Observations	15,977	13,331	15,977	13,331	
R-squared	0.952		0.829		
F-stat for weak IV		87.10		87.10	
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	

Result 1: Robustness to Excluding Top-5% by AI Share

	Ln(Manage	rial vacancies)	Manag	gers Share
	OLS (1)	IV (2)	OLS (3)	I∨ (4)
AI Share	0.046*** (0.008)	0.156*** (0.051)	0.008*** (0.001)	0.033*** (0.010)
Observations	15,145	12,676	15,145	12,676
R-squared	0.951		0.832	
F-stat for weak IV		114		114
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Result 1: Robustness to Keeping a Balanced Sample

	Ln(Manage	rial vacancies)	Manag	ers Share
	OLS	IV	OLS	IV
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AI Share	0.024***	0.061***	0.003***	0.010**
	(0.006)	(0.023)	(0.001)	(0.004)
Observations	6.288	6.264	6.288	6.264
R-squared	0.954	-, -	0.796	-, -
F-stat for weak IV		63.31		63.31
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Result 1: Demand for Managers - Subperiods

	Ln(Manage	rial vacancies)	Managers Share		
	OLS (1)	IV (2)	OLS (3)	IV (4)	
Panel A: 2011-2015 period			. ,	. /	
AI Share	0.040** (0.017)	0.357** (0.147)	0.006* (0.003)	0.045* (0.026)	
Observations R-squared	5,613 0.964	5,345	5,613 0.846	5,345	
F-stat for weak IV		32.49		32.49	
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	

Panel B: 2016-2022 period

AI Share	0.015*** (0.005)	0.101*** (0.033)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.023*** (0.006)
Observations	10,049	9,213	10,049	9,213
R-squared	0.965		0.882	
F-stat for weak IV		62.96		62.96
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Back

Alekseeva et al., 2025

Result 3: Demand for Labor (2016-2022)

	Ln(Vacancies)		Ln(Non-A	Ln(Non-AI Vacancies)		Ln(Non-AI or Non-Mgt Vacancies)	
	OLS	IV	OLS	IV	OLS	IV	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
AI Share	0.024** (0.010)	0.073 (0.055)	0.012 (0.010)	0.061 (0.055)	0.005 (0.010)	0.024 (0.060)	
Observations	10,049	9,213	10,049	9,213	10,049	9,213	
R-squared	0.927		0.927		0.928		
F-stat for weak IV		63.33		63.33		63.33	
Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Firm FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	