# Discussion: "Can Deficits Finance Themselves"

by George-Marios Angeletos, Chen Lian and Christian Wolf

6th ECB Fiscal Policy Conference, Dec. 18th 2023

Davide Debortoli

ICREA-UPF, CREi and BSE

1. Is it possible in theory?

2. Is it plausible in practice?

1. Is it possible in theory? Yes

Key ingredients: (i) nominal rigidities and (ii) household with finite lives / liquidity constraints Mechanism: push fiscal adjustment into the future  $\Rightarrow$  large and persistent boom in the present

2. Is it plausible in practice?

1. Is it possible in theory? Yes

Key ingredients: (i) nominal rigidities and (ii) household with finite lives / liquidity constraints Mechanism: push fiscal adjustment into the future  $\Rightarrow$  large and persistent boom in the present

2. Is it plausible in practice? Yes

Quantitative analysis in calibrated OLG and HANK models  $\Rightarrow$  degree of self-financing is substantial

1. Is it possible in theory? Yes

### Are there alternative ways to get (full) self-financing?

2. Is it plausible in practice? Yes

A puzzle and possible explanations

Government budget constraint (in real terms)

$$B_{t+1} = (1 + r_t) B_t + \underbrace{(G_t - T_t)}_{\text{Primary Deficit}}$$
(Gov. Budget)

 $G_t$ : gov't expenditure (exogenous),  $T_t \equiv \tau_t Y_t$  tax revenues,  $r_t \equiv i_{t-1} - \pi_t$  is ex-post real rate

• A simple decomposition (iterating forward + total differentiation + terminal condition)



• A simple decomposition (iterating forward + total differentiation + terminal condition)



Tax Base share of financing related to Fiscal Multiplier

$$\frac{\text{Tax Base}}{\text{Total}} = \tau \underbrace{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{-t} dY_t}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

• A simple decomposition (iterating forward + total differentiation + terminal condition)



Tax Base share of financing related to Fiscal Multiplier

$$\frac{\text{Fax Base}}{\text{Total}} = \tau \underbrace{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{-t} dY_t}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

**Implication:** full self-financing requires

$$\mathcal{M} \ge rac{1}{ au} >> 1$$

## Large Fiscal Multiplier: Some Theories

- Real Business Cycle model [see e.g. Baxter and King (1983)]
  - $\mathcal{M}>>1$  for (persistent) shocks to investment and distortionary taxes

## Large Fiscal Multiplier: Some Theories

- Real Business Cycle model [see e.g. Baxter and King (1983)]
  - $\mathcal{M}>>1$  for (persistent) shocks to investment and distortionary taxes
- New Keynesian models:
  - RA: M >> 1 if monetary policy sufficiently accomodative (r<sub>t</sub> ↓) [Woodford (2011), Christiano, Eichembaum, Rebelo (2011)]
  - HA:  $\mathcal{M} >> 1$  due to liquidity constraints / finite lives TANK [Galí, Lopez-Salido, Vallés (2007), Bilbiie, Monacelli and Perotti (2013)], HANK [Kaplan-Moll-Violante (2018), Auclert-Rognlie and Straub (2018)], OLG / Perpetual-Youth models [Rankin and Scalera (1995), Basso and Rachedi (2021)]

## Large Fiscal Multiplier: Some Theories

- Real Business Cycle model [see e.g. Baxter and King (1983)]
  - $\mathcal{M}>>1$  for (persistent) shocks to investment and distortionary taxes
- New Keynesian models:
  - RA: M >> 1 if monetary policy sufficiently accomodative (r<sub>t</sub> ↓) [Woodford (2011), Christiano, Eichembaum, Rebelo (2011)]
  - HA:  $\mathcal{M} >> 1$  due to liquidity constraints / finite lives TANK [Galí, Lopez-Salido, Vallés (2007), Bilbiie, Monacelli and Perotti (2013)], HANK [Kaplan-Moll-Violante (2018), Auclert-Rognlie and Straub (2018)], OLG / Perpetual-Youth models [Rankin and Scalera (1995), Basso and Rachedi (2021)]

This paper: focus on conditions for (full) self-financing + quantitative assessment

This paper: (full) self-financing due to finite lives (discounting & frontloading)
⇒ support for delaying fiscal adjustments

This paper: (full) self-financing due to finite lives (discounting & frontloading)
⇒ support for delaying fiscal adjustments

- Comment: (full) self-financing does not necessarily require delayed fiscal adjustment
  - 1. Baseline NK model: "money-financed" fiscal stimulus [Galí (2020)]
  - 2. Two-Agent NK model: large enough share of Hand-to-Mouth households

based on Galí (2020)

Baseline NK model (IS equation, Phillips curve) + Money Demand + Fiscal sector

based on Galí (2020)

- Baseline NK model (IS equation, Phillips curve) + Money Demand + Fiscal sector
- Gov't Budget constraint

$$b_t = \beta^{-1}b_{t-1} + \beta^{-1}b(i_{t-1} - \pi_t) + (g_t - t_t) - \xi \Delta m_t$$

• Fiscal rule (passive)

$$t_t = \phi_b b_{t-1} + \tau y_t - \varepsilon_t$$

based on Galí (2020)

- Baseline NK model (IS equation, Phillips curve) + Money Demand + Fiscal sector
- Gov't Budget constraint

$$b_t = \beta^{-1}b_{t-1} + \beta^{-1}b(i_{t-1} - \pi_t) + (g_t - t_t) - \xi \Delta m_t$$

Fiscal rule (passive)

$$t_t = \phi_b b_{t-1} + \tau y_t - \varepsilon_t$$

Monetary rule (active):

$$\Delta m_t = \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ \beta^{-1} b (i_{t-1} - \pi_t) + g_t + \varepsilon_t - \tau y_t \right]$$

 $\Rightarrow$  adjust money supply so that **no tax adjustment** needed in response to fiscal shocks ( $g_t$  or  $\varepsilon_t$ ) (but tax base and hence tax revenues do change)

#### Government Spending Shock



- Remarks:
  - share of self-financing is 100% (by construction)
  - substantial part due to Tax Base channel (... which is itself "money financed")

Lump-sum Transfer Shock



## Example 2: A Two Agent NK Model

- Two types of agents:
  - Hand-to-Mouth (measure  $\lambda$ ):

$$C_t^H = W_t N_t - T_t$$
 (HtM Budget)

• Savers (measure  $1 - \lambda$ ):

$$C_t^S + B_t = \left(\frac{1+i_{t-1}}{1+\pi_t}\right) B_{t-1} + \left(W_t N_t + \frac{D_t}{1-\lambda}\right) - T_t$$
 (Savers Budget)

### Example 2: A Two Agent NK Model

- Two types of agents:
  - Hand-to-Mouth (measure  $\lambda$ ):

$$C_t^H = W_t N_t - T_t$$
 (HtM Budget)

• Savers (measure  $1 - \lambda$ ):

$$C_t^S + B_t = \left(\frac{1+i_{t-1}}{1+\pi_t}\right) B_{t-1} + \left(W_t N_t + \frac{D_t}{1-\lambda}\right) - T_t$$
 (Savers Budget)

- Supply side: standard New-Keynesian Phillips Curve
- Fiscal authority (same as in this paper):  $t_t = \tau_y y_t + \tau_b b_t \varepsilon_t$
- Monetary authority (same as in this paper): constant real interest rate ⇒ constant consumption for savers C<sup>S</sup><sub>t</sub> = C<sup>S</sup>

## Example 2: A Two-Agent Model

#### Government Spending Shock



- Remarks:
  - share of self-financing is 100% when  $\lambda$  is large enough
  - substantial part due to Tax Base channel

- This paper: analysis based on quantitative OLG and HANK models
  - evidence on MPCs + slow fiscal adjustment  $\Rightarrow$  Self-financing share  $v \simeq 0.95$  (mostly tax base)

### Comment #2: Substantial Self-Financing: A Puzzle?

- This paper: analysis based on quantitative OLG and HANK models
  - evidence on MPCs + slow fiscal adjustment  $\Rightarrow$  Self-financing share  $v \simeq 0.95$  (mostly tax base)
  - ... but then model must imply a multiplier  $\mathcal{M} \geq \frac{1}{\tau} \simeq 3$

 $\Rightarrow$  a puzzle? typical macro estimates of fiscal multipliers are quite smaller [ $\simeq$ 1, see Ramey (2019)].

- This paper: analysis based on quantitative OLG and HANK models
  - evidence on MPCs + slow fiscal adjustment  $\Rightarrow$  Self-financing share  $v \simeq 0.95$  (mostly tax base)
  - ... but then model must imply a multiplier  $\mathcal{M} \geq rac{1}{ au} \simeq 3$

 $\Rightarrow$  a puzzle? typical macro estimates of fiscal multipliers are quite smaller [ $\simeq$ 1, see Ramey (2019)].

#### Possible reasons for low fiscal multipliers in reality

- 1. delayed adjustments may exacerbate credibility problems
  - $\Rightarrow$  real interest rate  $\uparrow \Rightarrow$  consumption and investment  $\downarrow \Rightarrow$  multiplier  $\downarrow \Rightarrow$  share of self-financing  $\downarrow$
- size of multiplier depends on slack in labor markets (what about current situation?) [see e.g. Auerbach and Gorodnichenko (2012), Barnichon, Debortoli and Matthes (2021)]

- A nice and thought-provoking paper
  - shows conditions under which deficits can (fully) finance themselves
  - provides support for delaying fiscal adjustments

- A nice and thought-provoking paper
  - shows conditions under which deficits can (fully) finance themselves
  - provides support for delaying fiscal adjustments
- Comments: delaying fiscal adjustments ...
  - might not be necessary to reach high level self-financing (higher multiplier)
  - might have negative consequences (or be ineffective)
- Call for more evidence on effects of delayed fiscal adjustments